

Module 2 CIC Assessment Surface Vertical Systems Scoring Rationale

NB It is not essential to have a client/body to work with for this module but it can help to observe how the candidate works with a less experienced person.

- 1. Fail:** A poor performance
- 2. Defer:** Unsatisfactory – below required level but should be able to remedy
- 3. Developing:** At the standard in most elements but areas can improve
- 4. Good:** Mature client centred approach
- 5. Excellent:** Exceptional performance - aspirational

Personal SRT Kit

1. The candidate's personal SRT kit was unsafe.
2. The candidate's personal SRT kit was safe but not in a professional state.
3. The candidate's personal SRT kit was safe and in good condition.
4. The candidate's personal SRT kit was safe and the candidate understood each part well.
5. The candidate's personal SRT kit was well thought through and s/he could explain why s/he had put each bit of equipment in place and what alternatives are available.

Knots

1. The candidate could not tie the majority of knots expected.
2. The candidate could with help tie the majority of the knots expected.
3. The candidate could tie the majority of knots expected.
4. The candidate could tie the knots expected in a variety of situations.
5. The candidate could tie the knots expected in a variety of situations and could explain why a knot should be used and why s/he has used a particular knot.

Belaying Ladder

(only to be assessed where the candidate has been given Vertical Leader exemption)

1. The candidate could not safely belay, rescue or convert to hauling a lifeline system.
2. The candidate was eventually able to safely belay, rescue and convert to haul a lifeline system.
3. The candidate was able to effectively safely belay, rescue and convert to haul a lifeline system.
4. The candidate was able to demonstrate effective use of belaying, rescuing and converting to hauling in a range of situations.
5. The candidate was able to demonstrate quick and effective use of the techniques. The candidate upon further questioning could explain the reasons for why he/she had used a variety of techniques in similar situations.

Personal SRT Skills

1. The candidate could not perform all standard SRT manoeuvres.
2. The candidate struggled to complete manoeuvres but eventually succeeded.
3. The candidate was able to demonstrate effective use of the SRT techniques.

British Caving Association

4. The candidate was able to demonstrate effective use of the technique in a range of situations, swiftly and efficiently.
5. The candidate was able to demonstrate quick and effective use of all expected SRT techniques. The candidate upon further questioning could explain the reasons for why he/she had used a variety of techniques in similar situations.

Improvised SRT

1. The candidate could not perform SRT manoeuvres with improvised equipment/techniques.
2. The candidate struggled to complete manoeuvres with improvised equipment but knew most of them and eventually succeeded.
3. The candidate was able to demonstrate effective use of the improvised SRT techniques.
4. The candidate was able to demonstrate a variety of improvised SRT techniques.
5. The candidate was able to demonstrate a variety of improvised SRT techniques and explain the pros and cons of each.

Pull Through Set up, group management, rope retrieval.

1. The candidate could not safely set up a pull through system. S/he did not manage the client in a safe manner, or explain how they would manage clients?)
2. The candidate could set up a pull through system but could not communicate with the client at the same time. Same as above
3. The candidate could set up a pull through system and was aware of what the client was doing.
4. The candidate was able to demonstrate effective use of a range of techniques and could explain good group management systems.
5. The candidate was able to demonstrate quick and effective use of a variety of techniques. The candidate upon further questioning could explain the reasons for why he/she had used a variety of techniques in similar situations. They had a knowledge of other similar techniques in allied sports eg: canyoning.

SRT Rescues (Scenario Based)

The candidate to deal with an SRT rescues with and without spare rope.

1. The candidate failed to deal with the incident.
2. The candidate eventually dealt with the incident.
3. The candidate dealt with the incident quickly and efficiently.
4. The candidate dealt with the incident quickly and efficiently. Upon further questioning the candidate showed knowledge of other techniques that may also have worked in the same situation.
5. The candidate dealt with the incident quickly and efficiently. Upon further questioning the candidate showed knowledge of other techniques that may also have worked in the same situation and could justify why he used the one s/he did.